The first experimental evidence for improved nanomechanical properties of calcium silicate hydrate by polycarboxylate ether and graphene oxide
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ABSTRACT

Graphene oxide (GO) efficiently enhances macroscale mechanical properties of cement-based materials. Yet the nanoscale reinforcing mechanism of GO for calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H), the key binding phase of concrete, remains poorly understood. Moreover, how polycarboxylate ether (PCE)-based superplasticizers affect the nanomechanical properties of C-S-H remains unclear. The intrinsic mechanical properties of the nanocomposites are measured using high-pressure X-ray diffraction. The influences of GO and PCE on the local Ca and Si environments are probed using X-ray absorption spectroscopy. For the first time, we evidence that PCE-induced well-dispersed GO nanoplatelets strengthen the C-S-H basal planes, whereas poorly water-dispersed GO weakly interacts with basal planes and does not strengthen in plane Ca—O bonds. Both PCE and GO only slightly strengthen C-S-H's c-axis. The bulk modulus of C-S-H/PCE/GO nanocomposite is 190% than pure C-S-H. Functional groups of PCE and GO prefer interacting with the Ca—O sheets of C-S-Hs instead of silicate tetrahedra.

1. Introduction

Nanomaterials are common additives for modifying the mechanical properties, durability, and rheology of cement-based materials [1]. The addition of nanomaterials, including but not limited to calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H) seeds, nanosilica, nanoclay, and carbon nanotubes, in cement-based materials have been extensively studied [2,3]. Graphene and graphene oxide (GO) are among the hottest topics in materials science due to their supreme properties, e.g., large surface area (1500–m²/g) with two-dimensional morphology and high intrinsic in-plane Young's modulus (380–470 GPa for GO) at the nanoscale (defect-free level) [4,5]. Their use is trendy in scientific research of cement-based materials and alkali-activated materials [7–9]. Recent advances in research and development of graphene and GO manufactures result in scalable production and lower cost, making their use in concrete practical and scalable [10–12].

Graphene is a single atomic layer of graphite, consisting of sp² bonded carbon atoms arranged in a hexagonal lattice [13,14]. GO is the oxidized form of graphene with functional groups (e.g., carbonyl, epoxy, hydroxyl, and carboxyl) [15]. The GO incorporation in binder matrixes is more favorable relative to the graphene incorporation due to the stronger interactions between cement pastes and GO functional groups [16]. The addition of GO in cement-based materials results in improved tensile and compressive strengths due to a refined microstructure of pastes [17–19]. The strength improvement is more pronounced when GO nanoplatelets are dispersed by superplasticizers, typically polycarboxylate ether (PCE)-based [20]. A nanoindentation study has showed that the addition of GO does not enhance the microscale Young's modulus of C-S-H (the primary binding phase of concrete) [21]. In contradiction, molecular dynamics simulations have indicated GO-strengthened C-S-Hs at the nanoscale [22]. There remains a gap between understanding the micro- and nano-scale influences of GO on the C-S-H mechanical properties. The nanoscale mechanical properties of C-S-H in the presence of GO need to be experimentally validated. Measuring the nanomechanical properties of GO-incorporated C-S-H is important, yet challenging. Additionally, the influences of comb-shaped PCE (a hotly studied and widely used superplasticizer) on the nanomechanical properties of C-S-Hs are still poorly understood.

High-pressure X-ray diffraction (HP-XRD) is a powerful tool to determine the intrinsic mechanical properties of (nano)crystalline phases [23–25]. In HP-XRD experiments, the microstructure influences (e.g., mesopores and crystal size) on nanomechanical properties measurement can be eliminated by filling pressure-transmitting medium into the mesopores. Thus, hydrostatic pressures can be applied onto C-S-
H nanocrystallites, and their nanomechanical properties can be measured at the scale of unit cells. HP-XRD has been successfully applied to determine the influences of Ca-to-Si molar ratio (Ca/Si) [26], Al incorporation [27], cross-linking structures [28], synthesis method [29], and decalcification [30] on the intrinsic mechanical properties of nanocrystalline C-S-Hs.

In this study, a pure nanocrystalline C-S-H reference and C-S-H-based nanocomposites with the additions of GO and PCE are synthesized. The influences of GO and PCE additions on the coordination environment of Ca and Si of C-S-H are studied using X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES) spectroscopy. The intrinsic mechanical properties of the nanocomposites are correlated to their nanostructure, and the PCE-GO synergic effect is evidenced. The GO reinforcing mechanism of nanocrystalline C-S-Hs is unveiled. The mild PCE reinforcing effect on C-S-H is reported. The results have great potential in optimizing GO incorporated cement-based or alkali-activated materials for buildings, oil-cementing, and carbon storage capping when inexpensive GO is largely available. Our results using HP-XRD. The nanomechanical properties of the nanocomposites are synthesized using the co-precipitation method [29]. Stoichiometric amounts of Na$_2$SiO$_3$·9H$_2$O (99+%, Fisher) and Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$·4H$_2$O (99+%, Fisher) were separately dissolved in 250 mL deionized water in two 500 mL HDPE bottles in a N$_2$-filled glovebox at 25 °C. The water to solid precursors (Na$_2$SiO$_3$·9H$_2$O and Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$·4H$_2$O) mass ratio was 50. Na$_2$SiO$_3$ solution was continuously dropped into Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$ solution, immediately forming a white slurry. The initial pH of the suspension in the pure C-S-H system was 12.03. The sealed bottles were shaken at 60 rpm for seven days at 25 °C. Subsequently, the slurry was filtered using 0.45 μm nylon membranes with a vacuum pump (flow rate 37 L/min, Millipore Sigma) under N$_2$ protection. The remnant gel-like product was vacuum-dried for seven days at 25 °C with the presence of 10 g NaOH pellets (97+%, Fisher) in a 10.5 L glass dessicator to minimize carbonation.

C-S-H-based nanocomposites, C-S-H/GO, C-S-H/PCE, and C-S-H/GO were synthesized using the modified Hummers method [31]. A comb-shaped PCE with a molecular weight of 36,000 g/mol [32] was used in this study. The number of side chains (molecular weight 1000 g/mol) was adjusted to 1.0 using the co-precipitation method [29]. The GO solution (15 mg/mL) was ultra-sonicated for 10 min before the nanocomposite synthesis. The mixing protocol of nanocomposites considerably impacts their dispersion and further properties [34]. GO immediately agglomerated in the Na$_2$SiO$_3$ solution in our trial mix because GO hardly stabilizes in highly alkaline environments, consistent with existing literature [34]. Thus, for the synthesis of C-S-H/GO, GO solution was added into Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$ solution, followed by 10 min ultrasonication. Na$_2$SiO$_3$ solution was then dropped into GO-Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$ solution, followed by pH adjustment to 12.03 by the addition of 900 μL of 1 N NaOH solution. For the synthesis of C-S-H-PCE/GO, PCE solution was first mixed with Na$_2$SiO$_3$ solution. GO solution was then dropped into the PCE-Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$ solution, followed by 10 min ultrasonication. Na$_2$SiO$_3$ solution was then dropped into the PCE-GO-Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$ solution, followed by pH adjustment to 12.03 by adding 1180 μL of 1 N NaOH solution. The rest of synthesis protocol of C-S-H/GO and C-S-H/GO systems was both 4.9%. This PCE dose was also used in previous studies to simulate the high superplasticizer to C-S-H ratio during the early age of cement hydration when the PCE adsorption and dispersion are effective [35]. Similarly, the GO solid dose to precursor mass ratio of the C-S-H/GO and C-S-H/GO systems was both 1.5% to mimic the early age cement hydration in the presence of GO.

### 2.2. X-ray absorption near-edge structure spectroscopy

The XANES spectra of the C-S-H and C-S-H-based nanocomposites at the Ca L$_3$-edge were collected using the scanning transmission X-ray microscopy at the bend beamline 5.3.2.2 of the Advanced Light Source (ALS) at the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. The energy step of each scan was 0.1 eV. The experimental setup is detailed in [36]. The XANES spectra at the Si K-edge were collected at the PHOENIX beamline of the Swiss Light Source at Paul Scherrer Institute, Switzerland. The data were collected using a fluorescence-yield mode with a 500 μm × 500 μm beam at room temperature. The spectra were recorded at an energy step of 0.15 eV for 1 s for each data point. More experimental details can be found in [37].

### 2.3. High-pressure X-ray diffraction

The HP-XRD experiment was conducted at the superbend beamline 12.2.2 of the ALS. A B390 diamond anvil cell was used for pressurizing the samples. A 250 μm thick rhenium gasket was pre-indented to ~100 μm thick by the diamond anvil pair. A 110 μm diameter cylindrical chamber was laser-milled at the indent center. Each powder sample was loaded into the chamber, followed by the blending with 1–3 ruby microspheres [23]. The chamber was filled with pressure-transmitting medium (ethanol-methanol solution at 1:4 volume ratio) before an immediate closure with the diamond anvil pair. The applied hydrostatic pressure to the sample was determined by an offline fluorescence station. The size of the X-ray beam (wavelength 0.4959 Å) was ~30 μm × 30 μm. Diffraction patterns at elevated hydrostatic pressure and at ambient pressure were collected from the chamber and sample-filled silica capillaries, respectively. The diffraction peaks were fitted using Marquardt fitting method with PVTH type in XFIT [38]. Cerflet [39] was used to refine the B11m tobermorite structure [40] in the pressure range of 0–10 GPa.

Biot strain of a C-S-H unit cell is defined as:

$$\text{Biot strain} = \frac{l - l_0}{l_0}$$

(1)

where l and l$_0$ are the measured and ambient pressure lattice lengths, respectively.

The second-order Birch-Murnaghan equation of state (Eq. (2)) describes the correlation between bulk modulus and the pressure-induced volumetric change of a C-S-H unit cell.

$$P = \frac{3}{2}K_0\left[(1 + eV)^{1.5} - (1 + eV)^{1.5}\right]$$

(2)
where $P$ is the applied hydrostatic pressure (GPa) to a unit cell, $\varepsilon_V$ (unitless) is the volumetric strain of a unit cell, and $K_0$ (GPa) is the bulk modulus of a unit cell at ambient pressure.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Ca and Si coordination environments

Fig. 1A shows the XANES spectra of the C-S-H and C-S-H-based composites at the Ca L$^{2,3}$-edge. The spectra result from the electron transition from 2p to 3d orbitals of Ca [41]. Two major peaks, $a_2$ and $b_2$, originate from the loss of degeneracy of 2p orbitals (2p$_{3/2}$ and 2p$_{1/2}$) by spin-orbit coupling. Minor peaks ($a_1$ and $b_1$) are observed at the left of each major peak due to crystal field splitting [42]. The leading peak $a_0$ corresponds to the mixing of states due to the 3d spin-orbit splitting effect and multipole interactions of core holes with valence electrons. The $b_2$ peaks of C-S-H/GO and C-S-H/PCE are positioned at 0.05 eV lower energy compared to the $b_2$ peak of C-S-H. The $a_2$ and $b_2$ peak energies are 0.1 eV and 0.03 eV, respectively, lower than those of C-S-H/GO and C-S-H/PCE. Note that the $a_2$ and $b_2$ peak energies of C-S-Hs are very sensitive to Ca–O coordination and typically vary in a short range, within 0.1 eV [36]. Thus, the $a_2$ and $b_2$ peak shift of C-S-H nanocomposites, although not noticeable, can be an index for the variation in coordination environment (e.g., coordination number of Ca) of C-S-H-based materials. The energy shift suggests that the addition of PCE and/or GO induces a smaller mean coordination number of Ca [29], i.e., more CaO sheets are six-fold coordinated in the C-S-H-based nanocomposites compared to the well-accepted CaO$_2$ sheet in C-S-H.

The energy differences (splitting energies, $\Delta L_3 = a_2 - a_1$ and $\Delta L_2 = b_2 - b_1$) of the two doublets between the adjacent minor and major peaks depend on the distortion of octahedral-like symmetry of Ca–O [43]. The splitting energies are very sensitive to the degree of distortion of Ca–O. The splitting energies of pure C-S-H without structural modifications only vary from 1.05 to 1.15 eV [36]. The low splitting energy, 1.08 eV, of the C-S-H reference suggests the typical distorted octahedral symmetry in interlayer Ca and CaO$_2$ sheets of C-S-H [44]. The splitting energies of C-S-H/PCE are 1.24 and 1.27 eV, out of the C-S-H range 1.05–1.15 eV. Although the splitting energies of C-S-H/PCE are only over 0.16 eV higher than those of the C-S-H reference, the high sensitivity of splitting energy suggesting a higher degree of octahedral symmetry around Ca (less distorted [45]) in C-S-H/PCE due to the strong interaction between CaO sheets and COO$^-$ groups of PCE [46]. The splitting energies of C-S-H/GO are 0.02–0.06 eV higher than the reference, suggesting a slightly higher degree of octahedral symmetry around Ca in C-S-H/GO relative to C-S-H due to 1) the low availability of COO$^-$ groups on GO edges; 2) week interactions between Ca of C-S-H and the hydroxyl and epoxy groups of GO [16]; and 3) low surface area of agglomerated GO nanoplatelets in a basic environment ($pH = 12$) [47,34]. Ca in C-S-H/PCE/GO exhibits a slightly higher degree of octahedral symmetry compared to C-S-H/GO due to the interactions between CaO sheets and the PCE-induced well-dispersed GO nanoplatelets [34].

Si K-edge XANES spectra of the C-S-H and C-S-H-based composites are shown in Fig. 1B. The major peak B (Si K-edge) at $\sim$1839.8 eV is attributed to the dipole-allowed transition of 1s electrons to 3p orbital of Si [48]. The post-edge humps D at $\sim$1847. 5 eV and E in an energy range of 1845–1855 eV correspond to the multiple-scattering effect from more distant coordination shells and the 1s-3d electron transition of Si, respectively. The Si K-edge energy is very sensitive to the silicate polymerization degree of tobermorite-like phases (e.g., C-S-H) [36,37]. The variation in Si K-edge energy of C-S-H with various degrees of silicate polymerization is 1.5 eV. Thus, the low variation (0.12 eV) of Si K-edge energy among our four C-S-H and nanocomposite samples suggests a comparable degree of silicate polymerization and poor interaction between the additives and C-S-H silicate tetrahedron. The addition of PCE and/or GO exhibits negligible influences on the silicate mean chain length because 1) the additives prefer interacting with CaO sheets; and 2) the interactions between the silicate tetrahedra and GO epoxy and hydroxyl groups are more favorable in the presence of bridging Ca at higher Ca/Si ratios [8]. The poor interaction between the additives and

<p>| Table 1 Compositions and lattice parameters of C-S-H and C-S-H-based nanocomposites. |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ca/Si</th>
<th>a (Å)</th>
<th>b (Å)</th>
<th>c (Å)</th>
<th>V (Å$^3$)</th>
<th>Basal spacing (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-S-H</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>6.691</td>
<td>7.308</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>1030 12.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-S-H/GO</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>6.670</td>
<td>7.300</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>1014 12.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-S-H/PCE</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>6.697</td>
<td>7.301</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>1024 12.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-S-H/PCE/GO</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>6.681</td>
<td>7.288</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>1036 12.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^{a}$ Ca/Si was measured using Bruker SuperX energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometer.
silicate tetrahedra can be explained by the lack of bridging functionality (e.g., silyl groups) of the additives [46].

3.2. Nanomechanical properties

The final Ca/Si and lattice parameters of the samples are listed in Table 1. The final Ca/Si of nanocomposites slightly increases in the presence of comb-shaped PCE, which is consistent with [49]. The slight reduction in final Ca/Si in the presence of GO may be related to the Ca complexation with GO functional groups [50]. The additions of PCE and GO exhibit negligible influences on lattice parameters \(a\) and \(b\). The low variation in basal spacing (see 002 peak position in Fig. 2A) indicates that PCE and GO are unlikely to intercalate into interlayers.

Fig. 2B shows the axial incompressibility of the samples along the a- and b-axis of unit cells. Biot strain is more negative with an increasing applied hydrostatic pressure due to the pressure-induced contraction of unit cells. The incompressibility of C-S-H reference, C-S-H/PCE, and C-S-H/GO along the a- and b-axis is in the range of \(-1/280\) GPa \(^{-1}\) to \(-1/300\) GPa \(^{-1}\), which falls in the typical range for C-S-Hs [26–29] and tobermorite [51]. The comparable ab-plane (basal plane) incompressibilities of C-S-H, C-S-H/PCE, and C-S-H/GO suggest that neither GO nor PCE separately reinforces the C-S-H basal planes. The ab-plane incompressibility of C-S-H/GO is about \(-1/510\) GPa \(^{-1}\). The basal plane of C-S-H/PCE/GO is the stiffest among all known tobermorite and C-S-H-based phases [26–30,51].

Fig. 2C shows the incompressibility of the samples along the c-axis (normal to the basal plane). The incompressibility of the C-S-H reference along the c-axis is \(-100\) GPa \(^{-1}\), suggesting a relatively soft c-axis. The c-axis of the C-S-H reference with final Ca/Si = 0.91 is stiffer than that of C-S-H at Ca/Si = 0.8 (\(-1/94\) GPa \(^{-1}\)) [29] and softer than that of C-S-H at Ca/Si = 1.3 (\(-1/193\) GPa \(^{-1}\)), following the negative correlation between c-axis incompressibility and Ca/Si ratio. The c-axis of the three nanocomposites is just slightly stiffer than that of the C-S-H reference because 1) the c-axis incompressibility is governed by interlayer density [29] and 2) PCE- and/or GO-modified CaO sheets are possibly stiffer along the c-axis (i.e., Ca–O bonds along the c-axis are slightly stronger).

Fig. 2D shows the measured bulk modulus, \(K_0\), of C-S-H and C-S-H-based nanocomposites at the unit cell level. \(K_0\) of the C-S-H reference (final Ca/Si = 0.91) is 43.2 \(\pm\) 2 GPa, suggesting a relatively soft C-S-H nanostructure. This value is higher than 40 GPa of C-S-H at Ca/Si = 0.8 and lower than 64.6 GPa of C-S-H at Ca/Si = 1.3, following the negative correlation between \(K_0\) and Ca/Si of nanocrystalline C-S-Hs [29]. The \(K_0\) of C-S-H/PCE and C-S-H/GO nanocomposites are 48 \(\pm\) 4.6 GPa and 53.8 \(\pm\) 5.2 GPa, respectively. Their slightly higher \(K_0\) relative to the reference can be explained by the comparable ab-planar incompressibility and the slightly stiffer c-axis. C-S-H/PCE/GO’s significantly higher ab-planar incompressibility (\(-1/510\) GPa \(^{-1}\)) and the slightly stiffer c-axis explain its high bulk modulus, 82.2 \(\pm\) 5.6 GPa, confirming the
reinforcing effect of PCE-dispersed GO nanoplatelets. This value is higher than $K_0$ of all tobermorite, Al-tobermorite, C-S-Hs, and calcium aluminate hydrates measured in our previous studies [26,28,29,51,52]. Thus, the well-dispersed GO in the presence of PCE can strengthen C-S-H at the nanoscale is evidenced.

Fig. 3 illustrates the nanoscale interactions among C-S-H building blocks (nano globules), GO nanoplatelets, and comb-shaped PCE based on the results of C-S-H/PCE/GO. PCE disperses GO nanoplatelets and the steric stabilization of PCE reduces the agglomeration of GO [34]. Well-dispersed GO nanoplatelets are templates for the nucleation and growth of C-S-H building blocks. The CaO sheets of intralayers interact with the functional groups of GO, reorganizing the coordination environment of intralayer Ca instead of that of Si. Thus, the basal planes of C-S-Hs are aligned and reinforced along the a- and b-axis by PCE-dispersed GO nanoplatelets.

4. Conclusions

This study presents synchrotron radiation-based material characterizations of nanocrystalline C-S-H and PCE- and/or GO-modified C-S-H nanocomposites at Ca/Si of ~1.0. The intrinsic mechanical (nano-mechanical) properties of the C-S-H-based samples at the unit cell level are measured. The key conclusions are:

- The intralayer Ca of C-S-H weakly interacts with the functional groups in the presence of only PCE or GO. The interactions between intralayer Ca and GO are strong in the presence of a PCE-GO mixture. CaO sheets are more six-fold coordinated and less distorted in the C-S-H/PCE/GO nanocomposite.
- PCE, GO, and the PCE-GO mixture all prefer interactions with Ca rather than Si. Neither PCE nor GO exhibits pronounced influences on the coordination environment of Si of the nanocomposites. The influences of the functional groups on the lattice parameters of all samples are relatively low.
- In the presence of only PCE or GO, the basal planes of C-S-H are not reinforced, while only the c-axis is slightly strengthened. The bulk moduli of C-S-H/PCE and C-S-H/GO increase by up to 24% compared to the pure C-S-H reference.
- In the presence of the PCE-GO mixture, the basal planes are significantly stiffer, while the c-axis is only slightly strengthened. The bulk modulus of C-S-H/PCE/GO is 90% higher than that of the C-S-H reference due to the PCE-GO synergic effect. PCE-dispersed GO nanoplatelets significantly reinforce C-S-H basal planes.

The results provide the first experimental evidence for the reinforcement of C-S-H with PCE-GO at the nanoscale. This study provides new insight into the reinforcing mechanism of PCE-GO for C-S-H building blocks and the nanostructure of C-S-H-based nanocomposites. Therefore, this work has great implications in designing GO-reinforced cement-based or alkali-activated materials in service and understanding the interactions between PCE and cement.
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