Effect of polycarboxylate ether on the expansion of ye’elimite hydration in the presence of anhydrite
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ABSTRACT

Polycarboxylate ether (PCE), a commonly used superplasticizer, is known to influence the morphology of ettringite during the early hydration of Ca3A- and ye’elimite-containing cements. According to existing theories, such morphological changes may be crucial to the expansive behavior of these cements. This paper studied the expansion of ye’elimite-anhydrite pastes and found the use of PCE to reduce expansion after 4 days of curing. Hydration studies were conducted by calorimetry, X-ray diffractometry, scanning electron microscopy, mercury intrusion porosimetry, inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry, and X-ray microtomography. The results show that the influences of PCE on the morphology of ettringite and the hydration of ye’elimite were quite small after 2 days. Based on the crystal growth theory, the range of pores in which ettringite can grow was calculated to explain the observed expansive behaviors. The presence of ettringite nano-crystals in aluminum hydroxide was also revealed and considered as a possible expansion mechanism.

1. Introduction

Ye’elimite, also known as calcium sulfoaluminate, is the main phase in calcium sulfoaluminate (CSA) cements. It hydrates to form ettringite and monosulfate in the presence and absence of CaSO4, respectively [1–4] (see Eqs. (1) and (2)). The former reaction may induce expansion of CSA cements can be used as expansive binders or expansive compounds in Portland cements [5,8]. By adjusting the CaSO4 to ye’elimite molar ratio (termed as M ratio, M) in CSA cements, hydration reaction (1) occurs in different proportions, and thus the expansive behavior of CSA cements can be tuned. CSA cements can be used for rapid hardening and high-strength purposes when M < 1.5, for expansive purposes when 1.5 < M < 2.5, and for self-stressing purposes when M > 2.5 [9–11].

\[Ca_3A_3S + 2CS + 38H → Ca_4AS_3H_{12} + 2AH_3\]  (1)

\[C_3A_3S + 18H → Ca_4ASH_{12} + 2AH_3\]  (2)

Although the expansive character of CSA cements is attributed to the formation of ettringite (Eq. (1)), the existing literature has shown that the measured expansion does not coincide well with the amount of ettringite formed [5–7,12–15]. From a practical view, in addition to the M ratio, the expansion property is influenced by several other factors, e.g. water to cement ratio [16], type of CaSO4 (anhydrite, bassanite, or gypsum) [17,18], particle size of cement [16,19], presence of lime [20–22], alkalinity in the pore solution [23,24], and utilization of supplementary cementitious materials [7,12].

Many theories have been put forward to model the expansive behavior at the micro-scale. Among these theories, the most well-known schools of thought are the “swelling” theory and the “crystal growth” theory. The swelling theory proposes that the reaction of ye’elimite and gypsum in the presence of calcium hydroxide forms colloidal ettringite. This type of ettringite can attract large amounts of water and thus expand due to inter-particle repulsion [21]. The crystal growth theory, however, suggests that the expansion is due to the growth of confined crystals from supersaturated solutions [25,26]. In the case of CSA...
cement hydration, it is believed that the supersaturation with respect to ettringite not only influences the crystallization pressure generated by the growth of ettringite, but also determines the minimum size of pores which ettringite can grow in [6]. Significant expansion and cracking can occur when tensile stress, generated by the growth of ettringite, exceeds the strength of the matrix [6,7]. This theory is widely accepted by recent studies investigating the expansive behavior of CSA cements [6,7,14,27,28]. It has also been applied to explain the expansion of Portland cement concrete due to delayed ettringite formation (DEF) [29,30] and external sulfate attacks [31–33]. In addition to the expansion induced by ettringite formation, the “crystal growth” theory also helps to understand the salt damage (e.g., Na₂SO₄) of stones and masonry [34–36].

Although both the “swelling” and the “crystal growth” theories proposed distinct expansion mechanisms, they both state that the morphology of ettringite can affect the expansive behavior [37]. According to the “swelling” theory, a colloidal morphology refers to small size and high aspect ratio of ettringite crystals [21], whereas according to the “crystal growth” theory, the stress exerted by a growing crystal on the pore wall depends also on the size of the pore and thus on the crystal growing inside [25,26,30,38]. A recent study reported that the use of polycarboxylate ether (PCE) in CSA cement paste can reduce the size of ettringite crystals forming at early ages (60 min) [39]. Commonly used PCE superplasticizers are comb-shaped copolymers. In Portland cement systems, they are known to preferentially adsorb on aluminate phases that have a positively charged surface and modify the rheological behavior of the fresh mix by producing steric repulsive forces between the anhydrous and hydrated cement particles [40–43]. The adsorption of PCE on ettringite inhibits the growth of ettringite crystals and favors their nucleation [40,44,45]. As a result, in the presence of PCEs, ettringite crystals usually show smaller sizes and/or different aspect ratios compared with the situation when PCE is absent. Such an effect not only occurs in CSA cement systems, but also in the scenarios of Portland cement hydration [42,46], C₃A hydration [44,47], and synthesized ettringite (by spontaneous crystallization) [48,49].

The effects of PCE on the hydration and rheological properties of CSA cements have been well investigated in [46,50–54]. However, it remains still unknown whether the morphological changes on ettringite during the early hydration (60 min) [39] remain in the later ages and may influence the expansive behavior of CSA cements. In this paper the hydration behavior of ye’elimite-anhydrite pastes, with and without PCE, was studied. The morphology of ettringite, as well as the hydration and microstructural evolution of the pastes were studied by means of various investigation techniques. Based on the “crystal growth” theory, the effect of PCE on expansion was also analyzed.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

Ye’elimite was laboratory-synthesized by heating raw materials at 1300 °C and held for 60 min. An XRD study with Rietveld refinement showed that it consisted of 78% orthorhombic-ye’elimite, 20% cubic-ye’elimite, and 2% amorphous and unquantified phases. Anhydrite (99 wt%, Acres Organics) was used for the preparation of samples. The chemical compositions of ye’elimite and anhydrite (tested by X-ray fluorescence spectrometry) are shown in Table 1; the particle size distribution (tested by laser diffraction) is shown in Fig. 1. Deionized water was used for preparing the ye’elimite-anhydrite paste.

The PCE was synthesized by free radical copolymerization using methacrylic ester macromonomers (BASF) and methacrylic acid (Sigma-Aldrich). After the copolymerization, the polymer was ultrafiltered (Labscale TFF System, Merck Millipore) to remove residuals, macromonomers, and salts. The PCE molecular weight, determined by size exclusion chromatography (Agilent 1260 Infinity system), is 36,000 g/mol. The number of side chains in one molecule (n), calculated from the molecular weight, is equal to 27. The carboxylate to ether (C/E) ratio is 2.67. The number of ethylene oxide monomers in one side chain (P) is 23. The side chain molecular weight is 1000 g/mol.

2.2. Expansion tests

Expansion tests were conducted using pastes. The samples were labeled by their M ratio as M1.5, M2.0, M2.5, and M3.0. Both ye’elimite and anhydrite were firstly dry mixed for 3 min, and then mixed with either deionized water or PCE solution for 2 min. The PCE solution was prepared by mixing PCE with deionized water, and the PCE dosage was 0.2% (mass ratio of solid PCE to anhydrous powders). The water (excluding solid PCE) to binder (ye’elimite and anhydrite) ratio was 0.45.

The fresh pastes were cast into cylindrical plastic molds (6 cm in height and 1.27 cm in diameter). After casting, the samples were sealed by polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) films and cured at 23 °C. After 22 h, the samples were demolded and pre-conditioned in a fog room (RH > 95%) until reaching the 24th hydration hour. The initial length of each sample was measured by a caliper with a precision of ±0.01 mm. After that, two metal nuts were stuck onto the ends of each sample by epoxy resin. The specimens were cured in deionized water (~5 mL for each cylinder) at 23 °C. The length change of the samples was measured by an extensometer with a precision of ±0.254 μm. For each mix proportion, three cylinders were prepared and measured. Some cylinders, belonging to the PCE-free groups, fractured during the tests. The length changes of these samples could not be measured by extensometer after fracturing; they were measured by means of a caliper, instead. The visual appearance of these two sets of samples after 28 d expansion tests is shown in Fig. 2.

| Table 1 | Chemical composition of ye’elimite and anhydrite, wt%.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ye’elimite</td>
<td>Anhydrite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>50.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₃</td>
<td>10.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>36.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SrO</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Particle size distribution of ye’elimite and anhydrite.
The early age hydration was studied by isothermal conduction calorimetry on a TAM Air calorimeter (TA Instruments) operated at 23 °C. Six grams of anhydrous powders were employed for each test. The samples were mixed in ampoules outside the calorimeter according to the same mixing procedures described in Section 2.2.

For a better understanding of the hydration process and microstructure evolution of the pastes at different hydration ages, a new batch of samples was prepared and cured following the same procedures as those for the expansion tests. When reaching certain hydration ages, one part of the samples was ground into powders in isopropanol alcohol (Fisher Chemical) for both X-ray diffraction (XRD) and thermogravimetric analyses (TGA). The others were sawed into small blocks with ~5 mm side length for scanning electron microscopy (SEM) observations and mercury intrusion porosimetry (MIP) measurements. The hydration of the powder samples and the blocks were stopped by the solvent exchange method using isopropanol. Afterward, the samples were dried in an oven at 40 °C for two days.

XRD was conducted on a PANalytical X’Pert PRO diffractometer equipped with X-Celerator position sensitive detector and iron filter, using Co Kα radiation. The X-ray generator was operated at 40 kV and 40 mA. The scanning range was 2θ = 5–80°. The step size was 0.0167°. The measured time for each scan was 2 h. Rietveld refinement was conducted by TOPAS Academic V5 using rutile (99.995%, Aldrich) as the external standard. The crystallographic models were obtained from the Inorganic Crystal Structure Database (ICSD, see Table 2). The optimized parameters included the background coefficient, zero-shift error, crystal size L, and lattice parameters. The peak shape was described by the fundamental parameter (FP) approach employed in TOPAS. The Rwp (Residual weighted profile) of the refinements was between 5% and 9% and the error of the refinements was estimated to be ~2%.

TGA was conducted on a Hitachi STA 7300 thermal analysis system. Approximately 20 mg powder sample was heated under N2 atmosphere from 40° to 950 °C at a heating rate of 20 °C/min in a alumina crucible. The content of the chemically bound water, normalized to 100 g of unreacted powder was calculated according to Eq. (3). The mineralogical composition of the hydrated pastes, obtained from XRD analysis, was also normalized to 100 g of unreacted powders using the chemically bound water content, see Eq. (4).

\[
W_i = \frac{M_{40} - M_{950}}{M_{950}} \tag{3}
\]

\[
W_{i,\text{normal}} = W_{i,\text{Rietveld}} \times (1 + W_c) \tag{4}
\]

where \(W_i\) is the chemically bound water content; \(M_{40}\) and \(M_{950}\) are the masses of a specimen measured at 40° and 950 °C, respectively, during TGA; \(W_{i,\text{Rietveld}}\) is the content of phase \(i\) obtained from XRD Rietveld refinement. The microstructure of the samples was observed by a Zeiss EVO MA10 microscope equipped with an energy dispersive X-ray spectrometry (EDS). The accelerating voltage and the beam current were 15 kV and 1 nA, respectively. The morphology of ettringite at early ages was observed using fractured samples on the secondary electron (SE) mode. The microstructure of the hardened pastes was investigated using polished samples impregnated in epoxy resin (EPO-TEK 301) on the backscattered electron (BSE) mode. The grinding and polishing processes were conducted using Bruker SiC grinding papers and diamond suspensions, respectively, to 25 μm fineness, and kerosene (Fisher Chemical) was used as a coolant. The samples for both SE and BSE analyses were carbon-coated.

The porosity of the samples was measured by a Thermo Finnigan Pascal 240 porosimeter which can reach a maximum pressure of 200 MPa. The porosimeter was equipped with a low-pressure unit (140 Series) that is able to generate a high vacuum level (10 Pa) and operate between 100 and 400 kPa.

### 2.4. Pore solution chemistry

Cylindrical samples (55 mm in diameter and ~15 mm in height) were prepared for the pore solution analyses. The pore solutions were extracted under a pressure of 30 MPa that was held for 2 min, followed by filtration with 0.45 μm polypropylene syringe filters (Acrodisc). The solutions were analyzed for major cations and anions using ion chromatography (IC) and inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). The major ion compositions of the pore solution were determined using the ISCO 2710D Plus and 1700 Arc ICP-MS instruments. The concentrations of the major ions were corrected for acid leaching and dissolution.

### Table 2: Crystallographic models for Rietveld refinement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>Chemical formula</th>
<th>ICSD code</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rutile</td>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>93097</td>
<td>[55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y′-elimite (orthorhombic)</td>
<td>Ca₄Al₅S</td>
<td>80361</td>
<td>[56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y′-elimite (cubic)</td>
<td>Ca₄Al₅S</td>
<td>9560</td>
<td>[57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anhydrite</td>
<td>CaS</td>
<td>15876</td>
<td>[58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gypsum</td>
<td>Ca₃SiO₅</td>
<td>499581</td>
<td>[59]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ettringite</td>
<td>Ca₆Al₅SiO₁₅H₁₂</td>
<td>155395</td>
<td>[60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibbsite</td>
<td>Al₂SiO₅·H₂O</td>
<td>6162</td>
<td>[61]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Visual appearance of paste-samples prepared at an M ratio of 2.5, photographs taken after 28 d expansion tests: (a) Without PCE and (b) With PCE. The samples without PCE fractured during hydration. The scales on the ruler are in mm.
were acidified and diluted in 2 wt% nitric acid (prepared from 1 mol/L nitric acid, BDH) by a mass ratio of 1:10 and 1:100 [62], and stored at a 4 °C environmental chamber until analysis. The ionic composition of the solutions was measured by a Perkin Elmer inductively coupled plasma–optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES). Standard solutions were prepared by diluting standards (Inorganic Ventures) in 2 wt% nitric acid.

The saturation index of ettringite was calculated by geochemical code GEMS 3.3 [63] with the Nagra/PSI database [64] and CEMDATA 18 database (version 18.01) [65]. It is defined as

\[
SI = \log_{10} \left( \frac{Q}{K} \right)
\]

where, \( SI \) is the saturation index, \( Q \) is the ion activity product which is calculated based on the Debye-Hückel equation using the ionic composition determined by ICP-OES, and \( K \) is the solubility product under equilibrium conditions.

2.5. Synchrotron X-ray microtomography

Synchrotron X-ray microtomography (\( \mu \)CT) was conducted at beamline 8.3.2 at the Advanced Light Source (ALS) of the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory [66,67]. The beam energy was set to 35 keV with a constant beam current of 500 mA during the scans. For each scan, the sample was rotated around the axis perpendicular to the horizontal plane over 180°. 1969 projected radiographs were obtained over this rotation. Each projected radiograph was acquired through a 2× Mitutoyo magnification optical lens and a 2560px PCO Edge CCD camera. The field of view was 8.8 mm and the spatial resolution was 3.3 \( \mu \)m/voxel. After each scan, the generated sinograms were reconstructed using the filtered back projection algorithm on Tomopy [68].

Cement paste samples, 6 mm in diameter and 20 mm in height, were prepared and cured following the same procedures as those for the expansion tests. After 10 days of curing, the samples were soaked in isopropanol for hydration stoppage. A 10-mm-high part was cut off from the middle of each sample and tested by \( \mu \)CT without drying. A stack of reconstructed images with 2560 \( \times \) 2560 \( \times \) 3000 voxels was obtained for each sample, and 1200 images in the middle of each stack were selected for visualization using Tomviz [69]. Image processing and segmentation were performed on Fiji [70]. A 3D bilateral filter (3 pixel size) [71] and a contrast enhancement with a saturated pixel of 0.35 were applied to eliminate the noise and improve the contrast between different phases [72,73]. To analyze the pore structure, the Moment-preserving [74] grey value threshold method embedded in Fiji was utilized to segment the pores and cracks from the solid phase (both anhydrous particles and hydration products), see Fig. 3. The porosity is defined as the percentage of pore voxels in the whole voxels of the testing sample. The connectivity of pores was analyzed based on a 6-connected voxel criterion, which means pore voxels are considered connected to form the “pore cluster/object” when the voxels share a common face with each other (pores are usually made of at least two voxels). Thus, pore connectivity (in %) is defined as the number of void voxels in the largest percolating pore object (cluster) divided by the total number of pore voxels in the testing sample [75,76]; it will be 100% when all of the pores in the system are percolating.

3D continuous pore size distribution was computed using the XIlib plugin in Fiji according to the method in [77]. It is based on the assumption that the pore network is a continuum and can be invaded by fluid as in MIP [77]. In this method, the pores objects (defined as spheres of identical volumes) are invaded from the largest pores to the smallest pores without considering the “ink bottle effect”, and the continuous pore size distribution is defined as the relative volume of invaded spheres as a function of the sphere size [77].

3. Results

3.1. Expansion

Fig. 4 shows the expansion of the samples over time. The original length was measured at 1 d, and expansion was observed in all samples from 2 d. The expansion proceeded rapidly before ~14 d and subsequently slowed down. Among PCE-free samples (Fig. 4a), the M2.0 sample, prepared at the stoichiometric \( M \) ratio of Eq. (1), showed the largest total expansion at 28 d. Both M2.5 and M3.0 samples showed slightly smaller expansion, whilst M1.5 sample, where monosulfate may form stoichiometrically (Eq. (2)), showed the smallest expansion. The total expansion of the PCE-containing samples prepared at various \( M \) ratios (Fig. 4b) followed the same order as the PCE-free samples.

At a given \( M \) ratio, the samples with and without PCE showed comparable expansions before 4 d; later on, PCE-free samples expanded more than the PCE-containing samples. For PCE-free samples, the three cylindrical specimens tested in each series usually showed different expansion rates after 4 days of curing, though their final expansions were similar. Thus, the standard deviations on the expansion curves were high between 4 d and 14 d, but turned much smaller after 14 d. Between 7 d and 14 d, some of the specimens without PCE even fractured due to their large expansion (see Fig. 2b).

3.2. Hydration process

The fast dissolution of the anhydrous powders forms a strong initial exothermic peak in the heat flow curves, as shown in Fig. 5a and b. This initial peak was followed by an induction period. In PCE-free samples, the hydration reaction started to accelerate between 2 and 4 h. Two peaks can be observed in the main hydration period when the sources of water and sulfate for the precipitation of ettringite are believed to be

![Fig. 3. Segmentation of pores and cracks in sample M2.0: (a) Grayscale histogram and thresholding of the Moment-preserving algorithm; (b) A preprocessed \( \mu \)CT image; and (c) The segmented image showing pores and cracks (black) and solid phase (white).](image-url)
different [78]. M2.0 sample produced the highest hydration heat among the PCE-free samples. Compared with PCE-free samples, the acceleration period of the PCE-containing samples was retarded and appeared between 12 and 14 h. The first main hydration peak in the samples with PCE was lower than that in those without PCE; on the contrary, the second peak was higher. The prolonged induction period brought by the use of PCE can be also observed on the cumulative heat curves (Fig. 5 c and d). At a given M ratio, the cumulative heat of the sample with PCE turned almost equal to that of the PCE-free sample at 48 h and became slightly higher at 72 h.

After the end of the expansion tests (28 d), the hydration of the cylinders was tested by TGA (Table 3). All the samples with PCE showed slightly higher chemically bound water content than the samples prepared at the same M ratio but without PCE. These results indicate that the retardation effect of PCE did not significantly influence the hydration process after the main hydration period.

The results above show that PCE reduces the expansion and retards hydration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M ratio</th>
<th>Chemically bound water (mass %) measured by TGA. The samples were obtained from 28 d expansion tests.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Without PCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1.5</td>
<td>59.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2.0</td>
<td>67.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2.5</td>
<td>67.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.0</td>
<td>64.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the early-age hydration (before 2 d) without influencing the long-term hydration (28 d) at all the investigated $M$ ratios. To better understand the effect of PCE on the hydration and microstructure evolution, the samples prepared at the stoichiometric $M$ ratio (M2.0 and M2.0-PCE) were studied in detail and are considered as representatives. The hydration products of these samples at different hydration ages were investigated by XRD and TGA, as shown in Table 4 and Fig. 6, respectively.

At 1d, PCE retarded the hydration of ye’elimite (Fig. 5). A larger amount of ye’elimite remained unreacted in the sample with PCE (XRD, Table 4), whilst smaller amounts of ettringite and aluminum hydroxide formed (Fig. 6a). Calcium sulfate is consumed by the hydration of ye’elimite and thus the sample with PCE showed much lower gypsum content at this hydration age (Table 4 and Fig. 6a). A small amount of anhydrite remained undissolved in both samples, and amorphous products (termed as Amorphous and Crystalline not-quantified, ACn [79,80]) were observed based on the XRD Rietveld refinements. At 4 d, the ye’elimite in PCE-containing sample hydrated to a slightly higher level compared with PCE-free sample (Table 4 and Fig. 6b). This phenomenon remained unchanged at both 7 d and 14 d.

3.3. Pore solution chemistry

The pore solution of the M2.0 samples showed high Ca and S concentrations relative to low Al concentration (Table 5). The Al concentration decreased during the hydration and reached $\leq 1$ mmol/L after 7 d in both samples. These trends agree with [81] where the solution concentration decreased during the hydration and reached concentrations relative to low Al concentration (Table 5). The Al concentration remained unchanged at both 7 d and 14 d.

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ye’elimite</th>
<th>Anhydrite$^a$</th>
<th>Gypsum</th>
<th>Ettringite</th>
<th>Aluminum hydroxide</th>
<th>ACn</th>
<th>Chemically bound water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Without PCE M2.0</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 d</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 d</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 d</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 d</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>113.8</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>With PCE M2.0-PCE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 d</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 d</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>92.6</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 d</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>105.0</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 d</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>125.9</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>–4.6$^b$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Anhydrite was not detected after 4 d and was not refined in the Rietveld analysis. Indicated by “–”.

$^b$ A negative ACn value may be caused by the error of Rietveld analysis or TGA test, and can be considered to be $\approx 0$.

It has been reported that hydrated CSA cement pastes usually shows a bimodal pore-radius distribution when CaSO$_4$ does not reach the stoichiometric molar ratio for ettringite formation (Eq. (1)), but a unimodal pore-radius distribution when CaSO$_4$ is sufficient [5,82]. In both situations, the pore volume decreases during the hydration, but the samples used in [5] were aircured in sealed bags and might not expand according to dimensional changes provided in that study. Considering that the intruded volume in MIP most properly represents the entry of a pore instead of the pore itself [83], the test results obtained here can be interpreted as follows: Before the 1st day of hydration, the amount of hydration products was insufficient to fill the space in the cement paste. Therefore, pores with large entries ($\approx 200$ nm), representing the unhydrated areas, and pores with smaller entries ($\approx 40$ nm), representing the main space in between hydration products, could both be observed. For curing periods longer than 1 d, most unhydrated areas are filled by hydration products. Thus, a unimodal pore-size distribution, similar to that of a CSA cement paste hydrated under a sufficient CaSO$_4$ content [5], formed. The continuous formation of hydration products, on one hand, fills part of the space, and the volume connected by small entries ($\approx 20$ nm) turned even smaller. On the other hand, the expansion of the samples generated some cracks. As a result, some new open space connected by large entries was created again.

When PCE is added, the hydration of ye’elimite is retarded, and the pore-radius distribution remained bimodal at 1 d (Fig. 7b). Such a pore-radius distribution, as well as the high total pore volume relative to the PCE-free sample (compare Fig. 7d with Fig. 7c), indicates that the unhydrated areas (represented by the peak at $\approx 600$ nm) have not been fully filled by hydration products; this situation is similar to that of the PCE-free sample hydrated at 8 h (Fig. 7a) and coincides with the hydration processes influenced by the use of PCE (see calorimetry, Fig. 5). A unimodal pore-radius distribution formed at 2 d in the PCE-containing sample; however, the pore volume connected by entries smaller than $\approx 10$ nm was smaller than that of the sample without PCE. After 2 d, a bimodal distribution formed gradually; it is similar to the situation in the PCE-free sample. However, the volume connected by large pores (e.g. $>20$ nm) was smaller than that in the PCE-free sample, and the threshold pore radius was also smaller (Fig. 7c and d). These phenomena are consistent with the smaller expansion and fewer cracks generated thereby.

3.5. Microstructure

At 15 min of curing, the morphology of both ettringite and gypsum was similar (needle- or rod-like) (Fig. 8a and b), as it can be distinguished by EDS. Ettringite crystals formed in the presence of PCE were much finer than those formed in the absence of PCE. This result coincides with the finding in the spontaneous crystallization of ettringite in which the length and the diameter of ettringite both decreased during the increasing of the anodic charge amount of PCE [48].
At 2 d, ettringite crystals in both samples showed similar morphology (Fig. 8c and d). Unreacted ye’elimite, ettringite, and aluminum hydroxide can be recognized. A few micro-cracks (~1–2 μm), most probably caused by the drying of the sample and the high vacuum in the SEM chamber [84], can be observed in the ettringite clusters; nevertheless, no significant differences can be found between the microstructures of both samples. Large cracks (several microns or larger) showed up at ~7 d (Fig. 8e and f). Till 14 d, more cracks were observed in PCE-free sample; they were larger than those in PCE-containing sample (Fig. 8g and h). A few ettringite crystals were also observed in the large cracks, especially in PCE-free sample. These ettringite crystals are much shorter than the width of the cracks. Therefore, it is unlikely that these crystals are responsible for the cracking. Besides, there is no evidence showing that the formation of the cracks may be induced by the growth of any large ettringite crystals inside or across them.

3.6. Cracking pattern

The 3D reconstructed images obtained by μCT show how the cracks form and propagate due to expansion (Fig. 9). Uniformly distributed cracks can be observed on the exterior surface of both samples (Fig. 9c and d); they were larger in size and more numerous than those inside the cylinders (Fig. 9e and f). In PCE-free sample, the width of the cracks ranged from ten to a few hundred micrometers. In comparison, most cracks in PCE-containing sample were smaller than 100 μm. 2D images on a cross-section of PCE-free sample (Fig. 10a and b) show the presence of large radical cracks (a few hundred microns in width) located on the exterior part of the sample, together with small cracks (tens of microns) forming all over the sample. The small cracks are consistent with SEM-BSE images reported in Fig. 8e and g. BSE images show that some of the large cracks on the exterior surface tend to propagate through the aluminum hydroxide-rich areas (Fig. 10d).

Both porosity and pore connectivity values of the PCE-free sample were higher than those of the PCE-containing one (Table 6), which confirms their expansive behavior. The smallest continuous pores that could be captured after image processing were 6.6 μm in radius (two pixels), and most pores in both samples were between 6.6 μm and 19.8 μm in radius (Fig. 11a). Within this range, the pore volume of the PCE-free sample was much larger than that of the PCE-containing one. Furthermore, larger pores (19.8 μm to 145 μm in radius) were also present in the PCE-free sample (Fig. 11b), which also coincides with the reconstructed images (Fig. 9).

3.7. Crystal growth in aluminum hydroxide

The aluminum hydroxide in the samples with and without PCE in their main hydration period (~0.8 h and ~1 d in calorimetry) showed
similar features (Fig. 12a and e). A few needle-like crystals were embedded in the low-density aluminum hydroxide matrix. At 2 d (Fig. 12b and f), gypsum needle crystals (S/Ca = 0.85–1.05 by EDS) were apparently observed in the well-resolved aluminum hydroxide matrix. At 4 d, a few gypsum crystals remained in PCE-free sample (Fig. 12c), whereas few gypsum crystals were observed in PCE-containing sample (Fig. 12g). Inside the aluminum hydroxide matrix, small pores with sizes similar to the gypsum crystals could be observed in Fig. 12g. This fact indicates that the pores were left after the dissolution of the gypsum. At 7 d, the gypsum embedded in the aluminum hydroxide matrix also disappeared in the PCE-free sample (Fig. 12d).

At the earliest hydration ages, the S/Ca of the aluminum hydroxide–rich region is 0.5–1.0, with the Al/Ca < 2 (Fig. 12i). This fact suggests that the aluminum hydroxide is intimately intermixed with both gypsum (S/Ca = 1.0) and ettringite (S/Ca = 0.5). The S/Ca of the aluminum hydroxide matrix decreased to ~0.5 at 2 d (Fig. 12j) and then remained constant (Fig. 12k and l); this phenomenon suggests the presence of nano-sized ettringite inside the aluminum hydroxide matrix. The Al/Ca increased with the hydration age in both samples, indicating that the amount of ettringite inside the aluminum hydroxide matrix was decreasing. An apparent difference can be observed at 4 d when PCE-free sample showed a much higher Al/Ca than PCE-containing sample (Fig. 12k). This phenomenon coincides with the presence/absence of gypsum crystals embedded in the aluminum hydroxide matrix at the same hydration age (Fig. 12c and g). The compositions of the aluminum hydroxide matrix at different ages are summarized in Fig. 13.

4. Discussions

4.1. Effect of PCE on the morphology of ettringite and hydration of ye’elimite

In the C3A-CaSO4 systems, PCE promotes the homogeneous nucleation of ettringite [1,42,44]. The adsorption of PCE on ettringite restrains its growth [46], or even leads to the formation of ettringite nano-crystals with a particle size of 1–200 nm [47], thus increasing the specific surface area of the hydration products [44]. In the Portland cement systems, PCE is reported to reduce the aspect ratio of the ettringite crystals during the early hydration (10 s) [85]. It also retards the hydration of silicate by adsorbing on the anhydrous particles to prevent their dissolution [40,86,93].

The hydration of ye’elimite with CaSO4 forms two generations of ettringite: one during the induction period and the other one during the main hydration period [81]. The growth of the ettringite formed in the induction period was restrained by PCE, as indicated by SEM observations (Fig. 8). And the main hydration period in the calorimetry was retarded (Fig. 4), which agrees with the effect of PCE on the hydration of CSA cements [46,50]. However, the morphological and dimensional differences of ettringite crystals between the samples with and without PCE became quite small at 2 d (SEM, Fig. 8). In agreement with the findings in CSA cement systems [51–53], the hydration degree of ye’elimite in both samples was also comparable after this hydration age (calorimetry, XRD and TGA). Since the influence of PCE on the expansion took place after 4 d, it is not likely that the different expansive behaviors between the samples with and without PCE are induced by the amount of ettringite or the morphology of ettringite crystals growing outside the aluminum hydroxide matrix.

Reference [17] proposed that the duration of plasticity can influence the expansive behavior of CSA cement mortars. In the present work,
Fig. 8. Morphology of ettringite and microstructure of the samples observed by SEM: (a) and (b) 15 min SE images of M2.0 and M2.0-PCE, respectively; (c) and (d) 2 d BSE images of M2.0 and M2.0-PCE, respectively; (e) and (f) 7 d BSE images of M2.0 and M2.0-PCE, respectively; (g) and (h) 14 d BSE images of M2.0 and M2.0-PCE, respectively. The scale bars represent a length of 10 μm. G = gypsum, E = ettringite, A = aluminum hydroxide, and Y = ye'elimite. The darkest (black) regions in the BSE images refer to cracks impregnated by epoxy resin, and in subfigures (e), (g), and (f) there are also ettringite crystals (the small grey needles) growing inside the cracks.
both samples with and without PCE lost plasticity before 1 d; however, the different expansive behavior had not been observed until 4 d (Fig. 4).

4.2. Range of pores for the growth of ettringite

The ICP-OES results indicate that the pore solution is supersaturated with respect to ettringite. Crystals growing in a confined pore space from a supersaturation solution can exert pressure on the pore walls [25, 26]. Here, the range of pores in which ettringite can grow is firstly estimated according to [6], and the crystallization pressure generated therefrom is then analyzed.

The growth of a crystal can be driven by the supersaturation but restrained by the enlargement of the crystal-liquid interface. Provided that the crystal is spherical, it remains stable when these two effects are comparable [38], see Eq. (6).

\[ \frac{RT}{v} \ln \left( \frac{Q}{K} \right) = \gamma_{CL} \kappa_{CL} \]

where \( v \) (cm\(^3\)/mol) is the molar volume of the crystal, \( \gamma_{CL} \) (J/m\(^2\)) the interfacial free energy between the crystal and the liquid, and \( \kappa_{CL} \) (m\(^{-1}\)) the curvature of the crystal.

At a given supersaturation, a crystal tends to grow if its radius is above a critical value (percolated pore radius) but dissolves otherwise. Provided that ettringite crystal is percolating a cylindrical pore and a film of pore solution remains between the crystal and the pore wall, the pore radius can be calculated by Eq. 7 [87].

\[ \kappa_{CL} = \frac{2}{r - \delta} \]

Fig. 9. Three-dimensional cracking pattern of the M2.0 samples obtained from \( \mu \)CT. The original diameter of the samples is 6 mm, and the height of the selected areas is 3.9 mm. The scales represent the number of pixels and each pixel is 6.6 \( \mu \)m in length. (a) and (b) Preprocessed images of the samples without PCE and with PCE, respectively; (c) and (d) Segmentation images showing cracks (white) and solid phase (black); (e) and (f) Segmentation images showing only cracks (black);
where, \( r \) is the radius of the pore and \( \delta \) is the thickness of the film.

When a crystal grows in a pore, the pressure produced by its growth is influenced by the structure of the pore network in addition to the supersaturation. For a spherical pore space connected by cylindrical entries, the area near the pore entry has a larger curvature than the main pore body. When the crystal near the pore entry is in equilibrium with the pore solution, the main crystal body will be supersaturated due to the curvature difference [30,34,38]. To suppress the growth of the main crystal body, an extra pressure has to be exerted by the pore wall, which can be calculated by Eq. (8) [5,30,38,88].

\[
\Delta P = \frac{RT}{V_n} \ln \left( \frac{Q}{K} \right) - \gamma_{\text{cl}} K_{\text{cl}}^C - \gamma_{\text{cl}} K_{\text{cl}}^L
\]  

Table 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Porosity (%)</th>
<th>Pore connectivity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M2.0</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2.0-PCE</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where, \( r \) is the radius of the pore and \( \delta \) is the thickness of the film.

When a crystal grows in a pore, the pressure produced by its growth is influenced by the structure of the pore network in addition to the supersaturation. For a spherical pore space connected by cylindrical entries, the area near the pore entry has a larger curvature than the main pore body. When the crystal near the pore entry is in equilibrium with the pore solution, the main crystal body will be supersaturated due to the curvature difference [30,34,38]. To suppress the growth of the main crystal body, an extra pressure has to be exerted by the pore wall, which can be calculated by Eq. (8) [5,30,38,88].

\[
\Delta P = \frac{RT}{V_n} \ln \left( \frac{Q}{K} \right) - \gamma_{\text{cl}} K_{\text{cl}}^C - \gamma_{\text{cl}} K_{\text{cl}}^L
\]  

Fig. 10. Two-dimensional cross-section images obtained from the μCT of sample M2.0 (without PCE) and the SEM-BSE images of the same sample (different cross-section): (a) Preprocessed image obtained from μCT; (b) Segmentation image showing cracks (black) and solid phase (white) obtained from μCT; (c) SEM-BSE image; (d) An enlargement of the square area in sub-figure (c).

Table 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Porosity (%)</th>
<th>Pore connectivity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M2.0</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2.0-PCE</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 11. Continuous pore size distribution calculated from the 3D segmented pore volumes of μCT, the minimum pore radius captured is 6.6 μm: (a) Relative distribution; and (b) Cumulative distribution.
where, $\kappa_{CLE}$ and $\kappa_{CLC}$ represent the curvature of the pore entry and the main crystal body, respectively. If the radius of the main crystal body is much larger than that of the pore entry ($\kappa_{CLC} \ll \kappa_{CLE}$), the pressure that is exerted on the pore wall depends only on the radius of the pore entry and can be written as

$$\Delta P = \frac{RT}{V} \ln \left( \frac{Q}{K} \right) = \gamma_{CL} \kappa_{CL}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Eq. (9) indicates that the maximum crystallization pressure generated by a single crystal depends on the radius of the pore entry. Crystals growth in pores with small entries usually generate high crystallization pressure; in addition, crystals growth in pores that are connected by entries of $>0.1 \, \mu m$ in radius generate limited crystallization pressure [26,36]. On the macroscale, the tensile stress generated by the growth of crystals depends on both the crystallization pressure of a single crystal (Eqs. (8) or (9)) and the number of crystals that are exerting...
crystallization pressure, which is expressed as Eq. (10) [6,29].

\[
\sigma = P \cdot g(\varphi)
\]

(10)

where, \(\sigma\) (MPa) is the tensile stress generated by the growth of crystals, \(\varphi\) (%) is the volume fraction of the crystals exerting crystallization pressure, and \(g(\varphi)\) is a geometric factor.

The percolated pore radius of ettringite (see Table 7) can be calculated by Eqs. (6) and (7) based on the saturation index obtained from ICP-OES (Table 5), and the pores measured by MIP in the present study can be further divided into three parts, see Fig. 14. The PCE-free sample owns more pore space in which the growth of ettringite can exert crystallization pressure (marked as II). More importantly, the pore volume which is connected by <10 nm entries was much larger in the PCE-free sample than in the PCE-containing sample. A similar phenomenon has also been reported in the CSA cement system that PCE reduced the pore space that is connected by entries smaller than ~20 nm [52]. The growth of ettringite in the pore space connected by nano-sized entries makes a greater contribution to the tensile stress than that in larger pores (Eqs. (9) and (10)), which can explain the different expansive behaviors between the samples with and without PCE.

### 4.3. Growth of ettringite in aluminum hydroxide matrix

Thermodynamically, crystals tend to grow in the open space to consume supersaturation rather than grow in the confined space to exert crystallization pressure [30]. When aluminum hydroxide started to form within 1 d, gypsum and ettringite crystals were wrapped inside (Fig. 12a and e). This phenomenon agrees with the transmission electron microscopy observations on the early hydration of CSA cement [89]. During the hydration, the gypsum embedded in the aluminum hydroxide matrix induces a high supersaturation with respect to ettringite, and thus the growth of ettringite in the confined space in aluminum hydroxide matrix will exert crystallization pressure. Alternatively, the Ca\(^{2+}\) and SO\(_4^{2-}\) in the aluminum hydroxide matrix can also diffuse outside the aluminum hydroxide matrix, promoting the growth of ettringite in the open space.

### Table 7

| Percolated pore radius estimated based on the saturation index of ettringite at 4 d. Parameters used for calculation: \(v = 705.8\ \text{cm}^3/\text{mol}\) [6]; \(\delta = 1.5\ \text{nm}\) [6,38]; \(\gamma_{Ca} = 0.1\ \text{J/m}^2\) [6,29]. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Saturation index of ettringite, \(S_{\text{ettringite}}\) (–) | 11.1 | 9.0 |
| Percolated pore radius, \(r\) (nm ± 0.5) | 3.8 | 4.3 |

This process consumes the supersaturation inside the aluminum hydroxide matrix, leading to the dissolution of gypsum, and is thermodynamically preferable. The results in Section 3.7 (Figs. 12 and 13) show that the growth of ettringite in the aluminum hydroxide matrix lasted longer in the PCE-free sample (from 2 d to 7 d) than in the PCE-containing sample (2 d to 4 d). This phenomenon can also explain the different expansive behaviors between the two samples.

Similar to the findings in the present paper, ettringite can grow in the mesopores in C-S-H, which has been proposed as the expansion mechanism for DEF [31,90,91]. The SO\(_4^{2-}\) produced by the destabilization of ettringite at elevated temperatures can be adsorbed by C-S-H [91,92]. The diffusion of ions from C-S-H toward the open space outside can theoretically take as long as several weeks [30,38]. After cooling to the room temperature, ettringite grows in the confined space in C-S-H, thus causing crystallization pressure and expansion [29,30]. This phenomenon is also confirmed in the scenario of external sulfate attack by [31,90] based on chemical composition analyses on C-S-H using SEM-EDS.

#### 4.4. Duration of expansion

The expansion of the samples lasted till ~14 d. However, after 7 d the pores with an entry of <10 nm showed little difference between the sample with and without PCE (Fig. 7a and b), and the ettringite contents in the aluminum hydroxide matrix were also comparable in the two samples (Fig. 12). Similar phenomena can also be observed in the case of DEF that the expansion observed in the macroscale lasts for a longer duration compared with the supersaturation with respect to ettringite [30,38]. In terms of DEF, it is proposed that severe damage may occur in a short time, and expansion can take place under low crystallization pressure and thus tensile stresses afterward. This viewpoint is also verified by the fact that the maximum tensile strain that a concrete can sustain is usually smaller than 0.1%, whilst the expansion induced by DEF can reach the order of 1% [30,38].

The samples studied in this paper expanded to a much higher extent compared with those reported in the literatures studying the expansion of CSA cement systems [6,7,14,27,28]. It can be inferred that damage on the microscale may already form in the PCE-free sample around 4 d. Thus, this sample expanded more significantly than the PCE-containing sample after 7 d. The µCT images taken at 10 d also verified the presence of micro-damages in the PCE-free sample (Figs. 9 and 10). From 7 d to 14 d, the aluminum hydroxide matrix started to crack due to expansion (Figs. 10d and 12d). The Ca\(^{2+}\) and SO\(_4^{2-}\) in the aluminum hydroxide matrix could be released to the open space, and new ettringite crystals started to grow in the large cracks without confinement (see the small crystals in the cracks in Fig. 8e and g). Therefore, no further expansion is observed afterward.

#### 5. Conclusions

At a given M ratio (1.5 < M < 3.0), PCE reduced the expansion of ye’elimite-anhydrite pastes after 4 d of curing. Hydration and micro-structural studies on the samples with an M ratio of 2.0 revealed that PCE reduced the size of ettringite crystals forming during the induction period (15 min), and retarded the hydration before 2 d. After 2 d, the morphological difference of ettringite between the samples with and without PCE became quite small, and the two samples also hydrated comparably until 28 d.

PCE-free sample has more pore space in which ettringite can grow and exert crystallization pressure. Moreover, the pore space connected by nano-sized entries (<10 nm) is also larger in PCE-free sample than that in PCE-containing sample. The growth of ettringite in these nanopores can exert higher crystallization pressure compared with the growth of ettringite in larger pores, and thus induces higher tensile stress and larger expansion.

Nano-sized ettringite crystals can grow inside the aluminum...
hydroxide matrix. Gypsum embedded in the aluminum hydroxide matrix can provide a high supersaturation with respect to ettringite, and thus the growth of ettringite in the confined space inside aluminum hydroxide can generate crystallization pressure. This process lasted for a longer time in PCE-free sample than in PCE-containing sample.

On one hand, provided that ettringite grows in all pores it can percolate, the reduced amount of nano-pores after PCE addition can be the reason for the smaller expansion. On the other hand, considering that the growth of ettringite in the confined space is not thermodynamically preferable, the smaller expansion after PCE addition can be attributed to the shortened duration of ettringite nano-crystals growing inside aluminum hydroxide. Both conclusions are drawn under the dynamically preferable, the smaller expansion after PCE addition can be attributed to the shortened duration of ettringite nano-crystals growing inside aluminum hydroxide. Both conclusions are drawn under the crystal growth theory and can explain the expansive behavior observed experimentally. The effect of PCE on the morphology of ettringite growing outside aluminum hydroxide matrix and that on the hydration of ye’elimite are not likely to coincide with the expansive behavior due to the short duration (within 2 d) of these effects.
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